Notes from DDA Listening Session #2: Hagerstown, Maryland

October 23, 2014

Family Session

This session was one of a series in each of the four regions of the State. There were separate listening sessions for self-advocates, families and providers in each region. Across all of the meetings, a number of themes emerged. These included the following:

- A desire for more frequent and understandable communication with DDA (both in writing and in person)
- A need for improved Resource Coordination (emphasizing the skills and activities that are important to the individuals and families served)
- A concern that the system lacks trust at all levels, and a strong desire to build partnerships (between the state and self-advocates, families, advocates and providers)
- A need for improved consistency and staff capacity at DDA

The feedback at each of these sessions was thoughtful and impassioned, shining a light on the need to work together to improve the system for individuals and families.

In each session, the facilitators asked the following questions:

What things are going well?

What are challenges/barriers and/or things you would like to change?

If changes are made to the system, what are things that should be kept?

The notes below reflect the feedback from the session participants. In some sessions, the comments mainly reflect areas where improvements are needed.

Areas for Improvement:			
Re	equiring respite provider to be an employee of an		
ag	gency makes it very complicated (not to be able to		
ac	ccess neighbor and other flexible means of supports).		
На	aving a person go through agency trainings to provide		
su	upport does not always makes sense and limits the		
an	mount of people who can provide assistance/respite.		
Ov	vertime complications to respite.		
Me	ledical admin/nursing oversight requirements limit		
su	upport and take a large chunk of budget.		
Re	espite is not flexible anymore.		

Areas for Improvement:				
	Support services are being forced into institutional models.			
	Communication between Autism Waiver and Community			
	Pathways is confusing and not seamless for students			
	exiting one waiver and entering another.			
	Gap in transition services- DDA not starting when person			
	leaves school/not always starting on July 1. Family had			
	little notice as to when supports would start.			
	Self-advocacy is difficult. Hard on families to explain to			
	their loved ones that they probably really can't live on their			
	own. Balancing reality vs. hopes and dreams. Families			
	need to have a say in decisions.			
	Giving balanced information to individuals (i.e. one person			
	was told they can get emergency services if parents die).			
	DDA needs to hit the needs of all individuals with different			
	support needs.			
	Feels like DDA holds services hostage by making us jump			
	through hoops.			
	DDA control and barriers get in the way of supporting			
	value.			
	Loss of value of person centered thinking and support.			
	Service coordination doesn't have competencies- they do			
	not provide help and supports.			
	Three months to get a service funding plan completed and			
	delays.			
	Systemic problems within DDA that go back decades.			
	Fiscal impacts/cuts hurt the DDA system – this is a			
	Maryland state issue.			
	Rules change at the end of the fiscal year to make it so			
	individuals cannot use money. Feels business like instead			
	of person centered.			
	Portability of funds across state lines.			
	Families do not have access to plan costs (information not			
	provided).			
	Services dropped at will when funding runs out.			
	Access issues - If someone is getting nursing services			
	The state of the s			

33 IUI III	provement:
	they cannot get other DDA services. Families have no
	idea where to go to for assistance. No assistance- if you
	get REM you can't get LISS
	Interaction with Service Coordination is not genuine.
	Paper pushing only.
	Going from Autism Waiver to DDA was a tremendous cut
	in hours.
	Need the right staff, need to be secure that the services
	will continue.
	Lots of layers to services, lots of waste.
	Families would like a choice as to whether or not they
	need a service Coordinator or if they would like that
	money put into direct supports.
	Fractures between Medicaid and DDA (No cross system
	coordination).
	Differences between Medicaid and DDA regarding what
	nursing duties are permitted.
	We've put pen to paper during this meeting but will that
	alter the bureaucratic methods in play?
	System writes rules without understanding the impact on
	people and their families
	What part can families play in advocacy? Families want to
	be more involved.
	Schools- families have to focus on deficits- not on
	success.
	Need jobs- few employees in the state (and some
	employers are leaving.)
	Lots of layers to services, lots of waste.
	Families would like choices as to whether or not they need
	a service Coordinator or if they would like that money put
	into direct supports.
	Fractures between Medicaid and DDA (No cross system
	coordination).
	Differences between Medicaid and DDA regarding what
	nursing duties are permitted.

Areas for Improvement:				
	We've put pen to paper during this meeting but will that			
	alter the bureaucratic methods in play?			
	System writes rules without understanding the impact on			
	people and their families			
	What part can families play in advocacy? Families want to			
	be more involved.			
	Schools- families have to focus on deficits- not on			
	success.			
	Need jobs- few employees in the state (and some			
	employers are leaving.)			

Notes:

General discussion and/or information not included in specific comments:

Families wanted to know when they would see the results of these meetings. They wanted to review the notes and wanted to know when they will be worked on with DDA. They do not feel that change will occur.